



Appendix D Attestation Engagements





- GAGAS Chapter 6
- AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements
 - For additional guidance, see AICPA's peer review checklists for attestation engagements at aicpa.org/members/div/practmon/systemreview.asp
- Review the OIG's attest policies and procedures





- Keep in mind
 - There are 3 types of attestation engagements with different requirements as to type
 - Examinations—express opinion
 - Reviews—express conclusion
 - Agreed-upon procedures—issue a report
 - GAGAS incorporates the additional AICPA standard of criteria in relation to attestation engagements (AT 101.24 – suitable and available)





This is the step	What peer reviewer is looking for?	
Independence	➤Impairments (I/E/O)?	
	➤Conflicts resolved?	
	Modify GAGAS statement?	
	≻After report?	
Professional Judgment	Planning, Performing, Reporting?	
	Reasonable care & professional skepticism?	
	➤Ethical principles?	
	Document significant decisions?	
Competence	Education, experience, & skills?	
	Knowledgeable subject matter & criteria?	
	Met CPE requirements?	
	➤Qualifications of specialists?	





This is the step	What peer reviewer is looking for?
Quality Control	➢Relied on another audit organization's work?
	Ext QC report & letter of comments?
Criteria (AICPA standard)	Suitable – objective, measurable, complete & relevant?
	➤Available – publicly, clear, understood?
Field Work	➤Considered in planning?
	➤Services to be performed?
	➢Prior engagements?
	➤Sufficient understanding of IC (E)?
	Design for fraud (E)? Material effect on engagement (R/AUP)?
	➤Extend steps (R/AUP)?





This is the step	What peer reviewer is looking for?
Field Work (Continued)	Abuse effect on subject matter (AII)?
	Findings – C/C/E? Documentation sufficient?
	➤Dependent on IT?
	Plans nature, timing, extent of testing?
	Written representations from management?
	➤GAGAS compliance?
	Departures impact on conclusions and engagement?





This is the step	What peer reviewer is looking for?
Reporting	Conformance with AICPA standards?
	➤Assertion?
	Character of engagement?
	➤Conclusion vs criteria?
	Significant reservations?
	➤Intended for use by specific parties?
	GAGAS compliance statement?
	Modified scope, reasons, affect?





This is the step	What peer reviewer is looking for?
Reporting (continued)	➢Reports report
	➤significant deficiencies
	➢instances of fraud or illegal acts
	violations of contracts or grant
	agreements
	➤instances of abuse
	➤IC deficiencies
	known or likely fraud, illegal acts, violations, or abuse
	➢ findings iaw GAGAS guidance
	➤views of responsible officials &
	planned corrective actions





This is the step	What peer reviewer is looking for?
Reporting (continued)	≻Reports report
	identify validity, modify report, explain disagreements
	explain omissions (confidential/sensitive)
	submit to oversight and governance orgs, responsible officials
OIG Policies and Procedures	Follow OIG's QC policies & procedures
	➤Use checklists?
	Independent report referencing?







If the reviewer concludes that the attestation engagement met professional standards, inadequate policies and procedures or noncompliance by the auditors with policies and procedures would ordinarily be reported as a finding in the Letter of Comment and not impact the peer review rating.